

A Trudinger-Moser inequality on compact Riemannian surface involving Gaussian curvature

Yunyan Yang

Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China

Abstract

Motivated by a recent work of X. Chen and M. Zhu (Commun. Math. Stat., 1 (2013) 369-385), we establish a Trudinger-Moser inequality on compact Riemannian surface without boundary. The proof is based on blow-up analysis together with Carleson-Chang's result (Bull. Sci. Math. 110 (1986) 113-127). This inequality is different from the classical one, which is due to L. Fontana (Comment. Math. Helv., 68 (1993) 415-454), since the Gaussian curvature is involved. As an application, we improve Chen-Zhu's result as follows: A modified Liouville energy of conformal Riemannian metric has a uniform lower bound, provided that the Euler characteristic is nonzero and the volume of the conformal surface has a uniform positive lower bound.

Keywords: Trudinger-Moser inequality, blow-up analysis, Liouville energy
2010 MSC: 46E35; 58J05

1. Introduction

Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface without boundary, K_g be its Gaussian curvature and $\chi(\Sigma)$ be its Euler characteristic. Let $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$ be the completion of $C^\infty(\Sigma)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma)} = \left(\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) dv_g \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where ∇_g is the gradient operator and dv_g is the Riemannian volume element. As a limit case of the Sobolev embedding theorem, the Trudinger-Moser inequality [29, 20, 19, 23, 18] plays an important role in analysis and geometry. In an elegant paper [13], L. Fontana proved that D. Adams' results [1], Trudinger-Moser inequalities for higher order derivatives, still hold on compact Riemannian manifolds without boundary. Among those, there is the following

$$\sup_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma), \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0, \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\gamma u^2} dv_g < +\infty, \quad \forall \gamma \leq 4\pi. \quad (2)$$

Motivated by works of Adimurthi-Druet [2], the author [24, 25, 26] and C. Tintarev [22], we obtained in [27] that for any $\alpha < \lambda_g^*(\Sigma)$, there holds

$$\sup_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma), \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0, \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g - \alpha \int_{\Sigma} u^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\gamma u^2} dv_g < +\infty, \quad \forall \gamma \leq 4\pi, \quad (3)$$

Email address: yunyan yang@ruc.edu.cn (Yunyan Yang)

Preprint submitted to ***

January 30, 2015

and extremal function for this inequality exists. Here $\lambda_g^*(\Sigma)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to the mean value zero condition, namely

$$\lambda_g^*(\Sigma) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma), \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0, \int_{\Sigma} u^2 dv_g = 1} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g. \quad (4)$$

Clearly $\lambda_g^*(\Sigma) > 0$ and (3) improves (2). As a consequence of (3), we have a weak form of the Trudinger-Moser inequality. Namely, for any $\alpha < \lambda_g^*(\Sigma)$, there exists some constant C depending only on (Σ, g) and α such that for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, there holds

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g - \alpha \int_{\Sigma} (u - \bar{u})^2 dv_g - 16\pi \ln \int_{\Sigma} e^u dv_g + 16\pi \bar{u} \geq -C, \quad (5)$$

where $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g$. When $\alpha = 0$, the inequality was obtained by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [9].

For any conformal metric $\tilde{g} = e^u g$, where $u \in C^2(\Sigma)$, the Liouville energy of $\tilde{g}$ reads

$$L_g(\tilde{g}) = \int_{\Sigma} \ln \frac{\tilde{g}}{g} (R_{\tilde{g}} dv_{\tilde{g}} + R_g dv_g),$$

where R_g and $R_{\tilde{g}}$ are twice the Gaussian curvature K_g and $K_{\tilde{g}}$ respectively. Since

$$R_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-u} (\Delta_g u + R_g),$$

we have

$$L_g(\tilde{g}) = \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + 4K_g u) dv_g. \quad (6)$$

If Σ is a topological two sphere and $\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}}(\Sigma) = \text{vol}_g(\Sigma) = 4\pi$, then it was proved by X. Chen and M. Zhu [8] that there exists some constant C depending only on (Σ, g) such that

$$L_g(\tilde{g}) \geq -C. \quad (7)$$

This is a very important issue in the Calabi flow [6, 8]. Note that (5) can be derived from (3). One would expect an inequality, which is an analog of (3) and stronger than (7). To state our results, we fix several notations. Let us first define a function space

$$\mathcal{K}_g = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma) : \int_{\Sigma} K_g u dv_g = 0 \right\} \quad (8)$$

and an associate eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\lambda_g(\Sigma) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \int_{\Sigma} u^2 dv_g = 1} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g. \quad (9)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{K}_g$ is a closed subspace of $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$. While unlike $\lambda_g^*(\Sigma)$ given as in (4), $\lambda_g(\Sigma)$ is not necessarily nonzero. For example, $\lambda_g(\Sigma) = 0$ if $K_g \equiv 0$. In Lemma 6 below, we shall describe a necessary and sufficient condition under which $\lambda_g(\Sigma) > 0$. If $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$ and $u \in \mathcal{K}_g$, we write

$$\|u\|_{1,\alpha} = \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g - \alpha \int_{\Sigma} u^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

Clearly $\|\cdot\|_{1,\alpha}$ is an equivalent norm to $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma)}$ defined as in (1) on the function space $\mathcal{K}_g$, provided that $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$. The first and the most important result in this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface without boundary, K_g be its Gaussian curvature, and $\mathcal{K}_g, \lambda_g(\Sigma)$ be defined as in (8), (9) respectively. Suppose that the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$. Then for any $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$, there holds

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g < +\infty, \quad (11)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{1,\alpha}$ is a norm defined as in (10). Moreover, 4π is the best constant, in other words, if $e^{4\pi u^2}$ is replaced by $e^{\gamma u^2}$ in (11) for any $\gamma > 4\pi$, then the above supremum is infinity.

An extremely interesting case of Theorem 1 is $\alpha = 0$. If $\lambda_g(\Sigma) > 0$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,0}$ is well defined on the function space $\mathcal{K}_g$, and thus (11) is an analog of Fontana's inequality (2). Furthermore, the following theorem reveals the relation between the Trudinger-Moser inequality and the topology of Σ .

Theorem 2. Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface without boundary, $\mathcal{K}_g$ be defined as in (8). Then the Trudinger-Moser inequality

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g < +\infty \quad (12)$$

holds if and only if the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$.

Let us explain the relation between (12) and (2) under the assumption that $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$. First, we can see that both best constants of the two inequalities are 4π . Second, the subcritical inequalities in both cases are equivalent. Precisely, the inequalities

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\gamma u^2} dv_g < +\infty, \quad \forall \gamma < 4\pi,$$

holds if and only if (2) holds for all $\gamma < 4\pi$. Third, in the critical case, (12) is independent of (2).

Another interesting problem for the Trudinger-Moser inequality is the existence of extremal functions. Pioneer works in this direction were due to Carleson-Chang [5], M. Struwe [21], F. Flucher [12], K. Lin [15], Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [9, 10], and Adimurthi-Struwe [3]. Concerning the extremal functions for (11), we have the following:

Theorem 3. If the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, then for any $\gamma \leq 4\pi$ and $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$, where $\lambda_g(\Sigma)$ is defined as in (9), the supremum in (11) can be attained by some function $u^* \in \mathcal{K}_g$ with $\|u^*\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1$.

One would ask what will happen when $\chi(\Sigma) = 0$. We talk about this situation briefly. From Lemma 6 below, we know that $\lambda_g(\Sigma) = 0$. By the Young inequality $2ab \leq \epsilon a^2 + \epsilon^{-1}b^2$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, and Fontana's inequality (2), we can prove that

$$\sup_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma), \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g < +\infty, \quad \forall \alpha < 0. \quad (13)$$

For details of the proof of (13), we refer the reader to [11, 28]. Thus (13) is weaker than (2). There also holds

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g \leq \sup_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma), \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g.$$

Hence, in the case $\chi(\Sigma) = 0$, (11) is still true and slightly weaker than (2). It was proved by Y. Li [16] that the extremal function for (13) exists, while it is open whether or not the extremal function for (11) exists under the assumption $\chi(\Sigma) = 0$. This issue will not be discussed here.

Finally we apply Theorem 1 to Chen-Zhu's problem [8]. Let $\tilde{g} = e^u g$ be a metric conformal to g , where $u \in C^2(\Sigma)$. If $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, we define a modified Liouville energy of $\tilde{g}$, by

$$\bar{L}_g(\tilde{g}) = \int_{\Sigma} \left(|\nabla_g u|^2 + \frac{8}{\chi(\Sigma)} K_g u \right) dv_g. \quad (14)$$

In particular, if Σ is a topological two sphere, then $\bar{L}_g(\tilde{g})$ coincides with the Liouville energy $L_g(\tilde{g})$ defined as in (6). We denote

$$C_g(\Sigma) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}_g, \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g. \quad (15)$$

The following theorem generalizes Chen-Zhu's result (7).

Theorem 4. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface without boundary. Suppose that the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$. For any conformal metric $\tilde{g} = e^u g$ with $u \in C^2(\Sigma)$, if $\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}}(\Sigma) \geq \mu \text{vol}_g(\Sigma)$ for some constant $\mu > 0$, then there holds*

$$\bar{L}_g(\tilde{g}) \geq 16\pi \ln \frac{\mu \text{vol}_g(\Sigma)}{C_g(\Sigma)},$$

where $\bar{L}_g(\tilde{g})$ and $C_g(\Sigma)$ are defined as in (14) and (15) respectively.

The proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 is based on blow-up analysis. We follow the lines of [25, 27], and thereby follow closely Y. Li [16] and Adimurthi-Druet [2]. Earlier works had been done by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [9, 10] and Adimurthi-Struwe [3]. Both Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 are consequences of Theorem 1. The following lemma due to Carleson-Chang [5] will be used in our analysis.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathbb{B}$ be the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Assume $\{v_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon>0}$ is a sequence of functions in $W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\nabla v_{\epsilon}|^2 dx = 1$. If $|\nabla v_{\epsilon}|^2 dx \rightharpoonup \delta_0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ weakly in sense of measure. Then*

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}} (e^{4\pi v_{\epsilon}^2} - 1) dx \leq \pi e.$$

Another key ingredient in our analysis is the well-known Gauss-Bonnet formula (see for example [14], Section 3.J.1, p. 176), namely

$$\int_{\Sigma} K_g dv_g = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma). \quad (16)$$

Throughout this paper, we often denote various constants by the same C , also we do not distinguish sequence and subsequence. The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows: Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 are proved in Section 2; Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 are proved in Section 3 and Section 4 respectively.

2. A Trudinger-Moser inequality involving Gaussian curvature

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 by using blow-up analysis. We need several preliminary results before beginning the blow-up procedure.

Lemma 6. $\lambda_g(\Sigma) > 0$ if and only if $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let us first prove that $\lambda_g(\Sigma)$ can be attained. By definition of $\lambda_g(\Sigma)$ (see (9) before), we take $u_j \in \mathcal{K}_g$ such that $\int_{\Sigma} u_j^2 dv_g = 1$ and $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_j|^2 dv_g \rightarrow \lambda_g(\Sigma)$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Clearly u_j is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$. Thus, up to a subsequence, we can assume

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,2}(\Sigma), \\ u_j &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\int_{\Sigma} u_0^2 dv_g = 1, \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_0|^2 dv_g \leq \lambda_g(\Sigma). \quad (17)$$

Since $u_j \in \mathcal{K}_g$, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} K_g u_0 dv_g = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Sigma} K_g u_j dv_g = 0. \quad (18)$$

By (17) and (18), we have that $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_g$ attains $\lambda_g(\Sigma)$.

If $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, we claim that $\lambda_g(\Sigma) > 0$. Suppose not. We have $\lambda_g(\Sigma) = 0$ and thus $u_0 \equiv C$ for some constant C . In view of (18), we have by using the Gauss-Bonnet formula (16) that $2\pi C \chi(\Sigma) = 0$. Hence $C = 0$. This contradicts $\int_{\Sigma} u_0^2 dv_g = 1$. On the contrary, if $\chi(\Sigma) = 0$, then the Gauss-Bonnet formula implies that $u \equiv c \in \mathcal{K}_g$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\lambda_g(\Sigma) = 0$. $\square$

An immediate consequence of Lemma 6 is the following:

Lemma 7. Suppose that $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$. Then $\forall u \in \mathcal{K}_g$, we have $\int_{\Sigma} u^2 dv_g \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g$.

Proposition 8. Suppose that $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$. There holds

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\gamma u^2} dv_g < +\infty, \quad \forall \gamma < 4\pi. \quad (19)$$

Proof. Take any $u \in \mathcal{K}_g$ with $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1$. Denote $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g$. For any fixed $\gamma < 4\pi$, we can find some γ_0 , say $\gamma_0 = (\gamma + 4\pi)/2$, and a constant C depending only on γ such that

$$\gamma u^2 \leq \gamma_0(u - \bar{u})^2 + C\bar{u}^2.$$

By Lemma 7, there exists some constant C depending only on (Σ, g) such that

$$\bar{u}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\text{vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} u^2 dv_g \leq C.$$

Then it follows from Fontana's inequality (2) that

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{\gamma u^2} dv_g \leq C \int_{\Sigma} e^{\gamma_0(u - \bar{u})^2} dv_g \leq C$$

for some constant C depending only on (Σ, g) and γ . Therefore (19) follows. $\square$

We remark that if $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, then Proposition 8 indicates that Fontana's subcritical inequalities imply (19). Conversely, assuming (19), then using the same argument as in the above proof we can get (2) for any $\gamma < 4\pi$. Therefore, (19) is equivalent to (2) with $\gamma < 4\pi$.

In the remaining part of this section, we always assume $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$ and $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 9. *For any $0 < \epsilon < 4\pi$, there exists some $u_\epsilon \in C^1(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{K}_g$ with $\|u_\epsilon\|_{1,\alpha} = 1$ such that*

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u^2} dv_g. \quad (20)$$

Proof. For any fixed $0 < \epsilon < 4\pi$, we choose $u_j \in \mathcal{K}_g$ with $\|u_j\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1$ such that as $j \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_j^2} dv_g \rightarrow \sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u^2} dv_g. \quad (21)$$

By Lemma 7, u_j is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$. Then we can assume, up to a subsequence, $u_j \rightharpoonup u_\epsilon$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, $u_j \rightarrow u_\epsilon$ strongly in $L^2(\Sigma)$, and $u_j \rightarrow u_\epsilon$ a.e. in Σ . As such, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_j|^2 dv_g.$$

This immediately leads to $\|u_\epsilon\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1$ and

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_j - \nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \leq 1 - \|u_\epsilon\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + o_j(1).$$

Observe

$$(4\pi - \epsilon)u_j^2 \leq (4\pi - \epsilon/2)(u_j - u_\epsilon)^2 + 32\pi^2\epsilon^{-1}u_\epsilon^2.$$

It follows from the Hölder inequality and Proposition 8 that $e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_j^2}$ is bounded in $L^q(\Sigma)$ for some $q > 1$. Hence $e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_j^2} \rightarrow e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2}$ strongly in $L^1(\Sigma)$. This together with (21) leads to (20). Since $u_j \in \mathcal{K}_g$, we have $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{K}_g$. It is easy to see that $\|u_\epsilon\|_{1,\alpha} = 1$.

By a straightforward calculation, we know that u_ϵ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u_\epsilon - \alpha u_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\lambda_\epsilon} u_\epsilon e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} - \mu_\epsilon K_g \\ \lambda_\epsilon = \int_{\Sigma} u_\epsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g \\ \mu_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi\chi(\Sigma)} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_\epsilon} \int_{\Sigma} u_\epsilon e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g + \alpha \int_{\Sigma} u_\epsilon dv_g \right), \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Elliptic estimate implies that $u_\epsilon \in C^1(\Sigma)$. $\square$

Lemma 10. *We have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u^2} dv_g. \quad (23)$$

Proof. By Lemma 9, $\int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_{\epsilon}^2} dv_g$ is increasing with respect to $\epsilon > 0$. Hence the limit on the left hand side of (23) does exist, possibly it is infinity. Noting that for any fixed $u \in \mathcal{K}_g$ with $\|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u^2} dv_g \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_{\epsilon}^2} dv_g,$$

and whence

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_{\epsilon}^2} dv_g. \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_{\epsilon}^2} dv_g \leq \sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g. \quad (25)$$

Combining (24) and (25), we get (23). $\square$

We now follow the lines of [25, 27], and thereby follow closely Y. Li [16] and Adimurthi-Druet [2]. Similar blow-up scheme had been used by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [9, 10] and Adimurthi-Struwe [3]. Denote $c_{\epsilon} = |u_{\epsilon}(x_{\epsilon})| = \max_{\Sigma} |u_{\epsilon}|$. If c_{ϵ} is bounded, applying elliptic estimates to (22), we already conclude the existence of extremal function. Without loss of generality, we may assume $c_{\epsilon} = u_{\epsilon}(x_{\epsilon}) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x_{\epsilon} \rightarrow p \in \Sigma$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 11. $u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, $u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^q(\Sigma)$ for all $q \geq 1$, and $|\nabla_g u_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g \rightharpoonup \delta_p$ weakly in sense of measure as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, where δ_p is the usual Dirac measure centered at p .

Proof. Since $\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{1,\alpha} = 1$ and $u_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{K}_g$, it follows from Lemma 7 that u_{ϵ} is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$. Precisely, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} u_{\epsilon}^2 dv_g \leq \frac{\lambda_g(\Sigma) + 1}{\lambda_g(\Sigma) - \alpha}. \quad (26)$$

In view of (26), without loss of generality, we can assume $u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, and $u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $L^q(\Sigma)$ for all $q \geq 1$. It follows that

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g = 1 + \alpha \int_{\Sigma} u_0^2 dv_g + o_{\epsilon}(1) \quad (27)$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g (u_{\epsilon} - u_0)|^2 dv_g = 1 - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_0|^2 dv_g + \alpha \int_{\Sigma} u_0^2 dv_g + o_{\epsilon}(1). \quad (28)$$

Suppose $u_0 \not\equiv 0$. In view of (28), Proposition 8 together with the Hölder inequality implies that $e^{4\pi u_{\epsilon}^2}$ is bounded in $L^q(\Sigma)$ for any fixed q with $1 \leq q < 1/(1 - \|u_0\|_{1,\alpha}^2)$. Applying elliptic estimates to (22), we have that u_{ϵ} is uniformly bounded in Σ , which contradicts $c_{\epsilon} \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore $u_0 \equiv 0$ and (27) becomes

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g = 1 + o_{\epsilon}(1). \quad (29)$$

Suppose $|\nabla_g u_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g \rightharpoonup \mu$ in sense of measure. If $\mu \neq \delta_p$, then in view of (29) and $u_0 \equiv 0$, we can choose sufficiently small $r_0 > 0$ and a cut-off function $\phi \in C_0^1(B_{r_0}(p))$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi \equiv 1$ on $\mathbb{B}_{r_0/2}(p)$, $|\nabla_g \phi| \leq 4/r_0$ and

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{r_0}(p)} |\nabla_g (\phi u_{\epsilon})|^2 dv_g < 1.$$

Let $\overline{\phi u_\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_\Sigma \phi u_\epsilon dv_g$. Since $u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^q(\Sigma)$ for all $q \geq 1$, $\overline{\phi u_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Using Fontana's inequality (2), we conclude that $e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)(\phi u_\epsilon)^2}$ is bounded in $L^s(B_{r_0}(p))$ for some $s > 1$. Note that $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_{r_0/2}(p)$. Applying elliptic estimates to (22), we have that u_ϵ is uniformly bounded in $B_{r_0/2}(p)$, which contradicts $c_\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ again. Therefore $|\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \rightarrow \delta_p$, and this completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 12. λ_ϵ has a positive lower bound and μ_ϵ is bounded.

Proof. Note that

$$\int_\Sigma e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g \leq \int_\Sigma (1 + (4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2}) dv_g = \text{vol}_g(\Sigma) + (4\pi - \epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon.$$

This together with Lemma 10 implies that λ_ϵ has a positive lower bound. By Lemma 11, we have that u_ϵ is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma)$. Moreover, since

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_\epsilon} \int_\Sigma |u_\epsilon| e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_\epsilon} e^{4\pi} \text{vol}_g(\Sigma),$$

we conclude that μ_ϵ is a bounded sequence. $\square$

Let $\exp_{x_\epsilon}$ be the exponential map at x_ϵ and $\text{inj}_g(\Sigma)$ be the injectivity radius of (Σ, g) . There exists a δ , $0 < \delta < \text{inj}_g(\Sigma)$, such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exp_{x_\epsilon}$ maps the Euclidean disc $\mathbb{B}_\delta(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ centered at the origin with radius δ onto the geodesic disc $B_\delta(x_\epsilon) \subset \Sigma$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} r_\epsilon &= \sqrt{\lambda_\epsilon} c_\epsilon^{-1} e^{-(2\pi-\epsilon/2)c_\epsilon^2}, \\ \widetilde{g}_\epsilon(x) &= (\exp_{x_\epsilon}^* g)(r_\epsilon x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{B}_{\delta r_\epsilon^{-1}}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

For any fixed β , $0 < \beta < 4\pi$, we estimate

$$r_\epsilon^2 e^{\beta c_\epsilon^2} = \lambda_\epsilon c_\epsilon^{-2} e^{-(4\pi-\epsilon-\beta)c_\epsilon^2} \leq c_\epsilon^{-2} \int_\Sigma u_\epsilon^2 e^{\beta u_\epsilon^2} dv_g \rightarrow 0, \tag{31}$$

here we have used Proposition 8 and Lemma 11. In particular, $r_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This leads to

$$\widetilde{g}_\epsilon \rightarrow \xi \quad \text{in} \quad C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \tag{32}$$

where ξ denotes the Euclidean metric. Define two sequences of blow-up functions on the Euclidean disc $\mathbb{B}_{\delta r_\epsilon^{-1}}(0)$ by

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) = c_\epsilon^{-1} u_\epsilon(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon x)), \quad \varphi_\epsilon(x) = c_\epsilon(u_\epsilon(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon x)) - c_\epsilon).$$

It is first discovered by Adimurthi and M. Struwe [3] that the above function sequences are suitable for this kind of problems. By the equation (22), we have on $\mathbb{B}_{\delta r_\epsilon^{-1}}(0)$,

$$-\Delta_{\widetilde{g}_\epsilon} \psi_\epsilon = \alpha r_\epsilon^2 \psi_\epsilon + c_\epsilon^{-2} \psi_\epsilon e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)(1+\psi_\epsilon)\varphi_\epsilon} - r_\epsilon^2 c_\epsilon^{-1} \mu_\epsilon \widetilde{K}_g, \tag{33}$$

$$-\Delta_{\widetilde{g}_\epsilon} \varphi_\epsilon = \alpha r_\epsilon^2 c_\epsilon^2 \psi_\epsilon + \psi_\epsilon e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)(1+\psi_\epsilon)\varphi_\epsilon} - r_\epsilon^2 c_\epsilon \mu_\epsilon \widetilde{K}_g, \tag{34}$$

where $\widetilde{K}_g(x) = K_g(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon x))$. It is easy to see that $\Delta_{\widetilde{g}_\epsilon} \psi_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $|\psi_\epsilon| \leq 1$ and $\psi_\epsilon(0) = 1$. Applying elliptic estimates to (33) and noting (32), we have $\psi_\epsilon \rightarrow \psi$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where ψ is a distributional solution to

$$-\Delta_\xi \psi = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |\psi| \leq 1, \quad \psi(0) = 1.$$

Then the Liouville theorem implies that $\psi \equiv 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

In view of (31), $\Delta_{\widetilde{g}_\epsilon} \varphi_\epsilon$ is bounded in $\mathbb{B}_R$ for any fixed $R > 0$. Note also that $\varphi_\epsilon(x) \leq 0 = \varphi_\epsilon(0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\delta r_\epsilon^{-1}}(0)$. Applying elliptic estimates to (34), we have $\varphi_\epsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where φ satisfies

$$-\Delta_\xi \varphi = e^{8\pi\varphi} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \varphi(0) = 0 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi. \quad (35)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} e^{8\pi\varphi(x)} dx &\leq \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)(u_\epsilon^2(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon x)) - c_\epsilon^2)} dx \\ &= \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{Rr_\epsilon}(0)} e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)(u_\epsilon^2(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(y)) - c_\epsilon^2)} r_\epsilon^{-2} dy \\ &= \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_\epsilon} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

by using (30), change of variables, and $\psi_\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In view of (35) and (36), a result of Chen and Li [7] implies that

$$\varphi(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log(1 + \pi|x|^2), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (37)$$

As a consequence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{8\pi\varphi} dx = 1. \quad (38)$$

In conclusion, we obtain the following:

Proposition 13. $\psi_\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\varphi_\epsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where φ satisfies (37) and (38).

Proposition 13 provides the convergence behavior of u_ϵ near the blow-up point p . For the convergence behavior of u_ϵ away from p , we have the following:

Proposition 14. $c_\epsilon u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup G$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma)$ for all $1 < q < 2$, and $c_\epsilon u_\epsilon \rightarrow G$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma \setminus \{p\}) \cap L^2(\Sigma)$, where G is a Green function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g G - \alpha G = \delta_p - \frac{1+\alpha}{2\pi\chi(\Sigma)} \int_\Sigma G dv_g K_g & \text{in } \Sigma \\ \int_\Sigma G K_g dv_g = 0. \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Moreover, G can be decomposed as

$$G = -\frac{1}{2\pi} f(r) \log r + A_p + \psi_\alpha, \quad (40)$$

where r denotes the geodesic distance from p , $f(r)$ is a nonnegative smooth decreasing function, which is equal to 1 in $B_{\text{inj}_g(\Sigma)/2}(p)$, and to zero for $r \geq \text{inj}_g(\Sigma)$, A_p is a constant real number, $\psi_\alpha \in C^1(\Sigma)$ with $\psi_\alpha(p) = 0$.

Proof. With a slight modification of proofs of ([25], Lemmas 4.5-4.9), we obtain $c_\epsilon u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup G$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma)$ for all $1 < q < 2$, and $c_\epsilon u_\epsilon \rightarrow G$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\Sigma \setminus \{p\}) \cap L^2(\Sigma)$, where G is a distributional solution to (39). It is known ([4], Section 4.10, p. 106) that there exists some function $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that

$$\Delta_g \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} f(r) \log r \right) = \delta_p + h$$

in the distributional sense. Hence

$$\Delta_g \left(G + \frac{1}{2\pi} f(r) \log r \right) = \alpha G - h - \frac{1 + \alpha \int_\Sigma G dv_g}{2\pi\chi(\Sigma)} K_g \quad (41)$$

in the distributional sense. Since $G \in L^s(\Sigma)$ for any $s \geq 1$ by the Sobolev embedding theorems, the terms on the right hand side of (41) belong to $L^s(\Sigma)$ for all $s \geq 1$. By elliptic estimates, $G + \frac{1}{2\pi} f(r) \log r \in C^1(\Sigma)$, which implies (40). $\square$

In the following, we shall derive an upper bound of the integrals $\int_\Sigma e^{(4\pi-\epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g$. There are two ways to obtain the upper bound: One is to use the capacity estimate which is due to Y. Li [16]; The other is to employ Carleson-Chang's estimate (Lemma 5), which was first used by Li-Liu-Yang [17]. Here we prefer to the second way. In view of (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_g G|^2 dv_g &= \alpha \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta(p)} G^2 dv_g - \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} G \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} ds_g \\ &\quad - \frac{1 + \alpha \int_\Sigma G dv_g}{2\pi\chi(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta(p)} K_g G dv_g \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\delta} + A_p + \alpha \|G\|_2^2 + o_\delta(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g = \frac{1}{c_\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\delta} + A_p + \alpha \|G\|_2^2 + o_\delta(1) + o_\epsilon(1) \right). \quad (42)$$

Let $s_\epsilon = \sup_{\partial B_\delta(p)} u_\epsilon$ and $\tilde{u}_\epsilon = (u_\epsilon - s_\epsilon)^+$. Then $\tilde{u}_\epsilon \in W_0^{1,2}(B_\delta(p))$. By (42) and the fact that

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g = 1 - \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + \alpha \int_\Sigma u_\epsilon^2 dv_g,$$

we have

$$\int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_g \tilde{u}_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \leq \tau_\epsilon = 1 - \frac{1}{c_\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\delta} + A_p + o_\delta(1) + o_\epsilon(1) \right).$$

Now we choose an isothermal coordinate system $(U, \phi; \{x^1, x^2\})$ near p such that $B_{2\delta}(p) \subset U$, $\phi(p) = 0$, and the metric $g = e^h(dx^{1^2} + dx^{2^2})$ for some function $h \in C^1(\phi(U))$ with $h(0) = 0$. Clearly, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists some $c(\delta) > 0$ with $c(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ such that $dv_g \leq (1 + c(\delta))dx$ and $\phi(B_\delta(p)) \subset \mathbb{B}_{\delta(1+c(\delta))}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Noting that $\tilde{u}_\epsilon = 0$ outside $B_\delta(p)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\delta(1+c(\delta))}(0)} |\nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon \circ \phi^{-1})|^2 dx = \int_{\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{\delta(1+c(\delta))}(0))} |\nabla_g \tilde{u}_\epsilon|^2 dv_g = \int_{B_\delta(p)} |\nabla_g \tilde{u}_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \leq \tau_\epsilon.$$

This together with Lemma 5 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\delta(p)} (e^{4\pi \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 / \tau_\epsilon} - 1) dv_g &= \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 + c(\delta)) \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\delta(1+c(\delta))}(0)} (e^{4\pi(\tilde{u}_\epsilon \circ \phi^{-1})^2 / \tau_\epsilon} - 1) dx \\ &\leq \pi \delta^2 (1 + c(\delta))^3 e. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Note that $|u_\epsilon| \leq c_\epsilon$ and $u_\epsilon / c_\epsilon = 1 + o_\epsilon(1)$ on the geodesic ball $B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon) \subset \Sigma$. We estimate on $B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2 &\leq 4\pi(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + s_\epsilon)^2 \\ &\leq 4\pi\tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 + 8\pi s_\epsilon \tilde{u}_\epsilon + o_\epsilon(1) \\ &\leq 4\pi\tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 - 4\log \delta + 8\pi A_p + o_\epsilon(1) + o_\delta(1) \\ &\leq 4\pi\tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 / \tau_\epsilon - 2\log \delta + 4\pi A_p + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g &\leq \delta^{-2} e^{4\pi A_p + o(1)} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} e^{4\pi \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 / \tau_\epsilon} dv_g \\ &= \delta^{-2} e^{4\pi A_p + o(1)} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} (e^{4\pi \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 / \tau_\epsilon} - 1) dv_g + o(1) \\ &\leq \delta^{-2} e^{4\pi A_p + o(1)} \int_{B_\delta(p)} (e^{4\pi \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 / \tau_\epsilon} - 1) dv_g + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ first and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Combining (43) with (44), letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ first, and then letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we conclude

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g \leq \pi e^{1+4\pi A_p}. \quad (45)$$

By a change of variables and (38), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g &= (1 + o_\epsilon(1)) \int_{\mathbb{B}_{Rr_\epsilon}(0)} e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)(u_\epsilon \circ \exp_{x_\epsilon})^2} dx \\ &= (1 + o_\epsilon(1)) \int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)(u_\epsilon \circ \exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon x))^2} r_\epsilon^2 dx \\ &= (1 + o_\epsilon(1)) \frac{\lambda_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} e^{8\pi \varphi} dx \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\lambda_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ first, and then $R \rightarrow +\infty$. This together with (38) implies

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon^2}. \quad (46)$$

Using the same argument as ([16], Lemma 3.5) (see also [25], Lemma 3.6), we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Sigma e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g = \text{vol}_g(\Sigma) + \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon^2}. \quad (47)$$

Combining (45), (46) and (47), we conclude the following:

Proposition 15. *Under the assumption of $c_\epsilon = \max_\Sigma |u_\epsilon| \rightarrow +\infty$, there holds*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_\Sigma e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Sigma e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon^2} dv_g \leq \text{vol}_g(\Sigma) + \pi e^{1+4\pi A_p}.$$

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1. If c_ϵ is bounded, then the integral $\int_\Sigma e^{(4\pi - \epsilon)u_\epsilon} dv_g$ is also bounded. Hence Lemma 10 leads to

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_\Sigma e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g < +\infty. \quad (48)$$

While if $c_\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty$, (48) follows from Proposition 15 immediately.

Now we prove that 4π is the best constant. Fixing a point $p \in \Sigma$, we let $r = r(x) = \text{dist}_g(x, p)$ be the distance from p to x and $B_s = B_s(p)$ be the geodesic ball centered at p with radius s . For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we define a sequence of functions

$$M_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}, & x \in B_{\epsilon^2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}} \log \frac{\epsilon}{r}, & x \in B_\epsilon \setminus B_{\epsilon^2} \\ 0, & x \in \Sigma \setminus B_\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\|\nabla_g M_\epsilon\|_2 = 1 + O(\epsilon)$. Let $M_\epsilon^* = M_\epsilon / \|\nabla_g M_\epsilon\|_2$. Then we have $\|\nabla_g M_\epsilon^*\|_2 = 1$ and

$$(\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g = \frac{\int_\Sigma K_g M_\epsilon^* dv_g}{\int_\Sigma K_g dv_g} = O(\epsilon \sqrt{-\log \epsilon}). \quad (49)$$

Hence $M_\epsilon^* - (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g \in \mathcal{K}_g$ and $\|M_\epsilon^* - (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g\|_{1,\alpha} = 1 + O(\epsilon^2 \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}})$. If $\gamma > 4\pi$, then we take some ν such that $(1 - \nu)\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} > 2$. We have by (49) and an inequality $2ab \leq \nu a^2 + b^2/\nu$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma e^{\gamma(M_\epsilon^* - (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g)^2} \|M_\epsilon^* - (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g\|_{1,\alpha}^{-2} dv_g &\geq \int_\Sigma e^{\gamma M_\epsilon^{*2} - 2\gamma M_\epsilon^* (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g + o_\epsilon(1)} dv_g \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\epsilon^2}} e^{(1-\nu)\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{\gamma}{\nu} (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g^2 + o_\epsilon(1)} dv_g \\ &= (1 + o_\epsilon(1)) \pi \epsilon^{2-(1-\nu)\frac{\gamma}{2\pi}} \\ &\rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any $\gamma > 4\pi$ and $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$, there holds

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{K}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_\Sigma e^{\gamma u^2} dv_g \geq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \int_\Sigma e^{\gamma(M_\epsilon^* - (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g)^2} \|M_\epsilon^* - (\widetilde{M_\epsilon^*})_g\|_{1,\alpha}^{-2} dv_g = +\infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Completion of the proof of Theorem 3. We shall construct a function sequence ϕ_ϵ satisfying

$$\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - \alpha \int_\Sigma (\phi_\epsilon - (\widetilde{\phi_\epsilon})_g)^2 dv_g = 1 \quad (50)$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi(\phi_{\epsilon} - \widetilde{(\phi_{\epsilon})_g})^2} dv_g > \text{vol}(\Sigma) + \pi e^{1+4\pi A_p} \quad (51)$$

for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, where

$$\widetilde{(\phi_{\epsilon})_g} = \frac{1}{\int_{\Sigma} K_g dv_g} \int_{\Sigma} K_g \phi_{\epsilon} dv_g.$$

If there exists such a sequence ϕ_{ϵ} , then we have by Proposition 15 that c_{ϵ} must be bounded. Applying elliptic estimates to (22), we conclude the existence of the desired extremal function.

Now we construct ϕ_{ϵ} verifying (50) and (51). Set

$$\phi_{\epsilon} = \begin{cases} c + \frac{-\frac{1}{4\pi} \log(1 + \pi \frac{R^2}{\epsilon^2}) + B}{c} & \text{for } r \leq R\epsilon \\ \frac{G - \eta \psi_{\alpha}}{c} & \text{for } R\epsilon < r < 2R\epsilon \\ \frac{G}{c} & \text{for } r \geq 2R\epsilon \end{cases},$$

where ψ_{α} is given by (40), r denotes the geodesic distance from p , $R = -\log \epsilon$, $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{2R\epsilon}(p))$ verifying that $\eta = 1$ on $B_{R\epsilon}(p)$ and $\|\nabla_g \eta\|_{L^{\infty}} = O(\frac{1}{R\epsilon})$, B and c are two constants depending only on ϵ to be determined later. In order to assure that $\phi_{\epsilon} \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, we set

$$c + \frac{1}{c} \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi} \log(1 + \pi R^2) + B \right) = \frac{1}{c} \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(R\epsilon) + A_p \right),$$

which gives

$$2\pi c^2 = -\log \epsilon - 2\pi B + 2\pi A_p + \frac{1}{2} \log \pi + O(\frac{1}{R^2}). \quad (52)$$

Note that $\int_{\Sigma} K_g G dv_g = 0$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} |\nabla_g G|^2 dv_g &= - \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} G \Delta_g G dv_g + \int_{\partial(\Sigma \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p))} G \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} ds \\ &= \alpha \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} G^2 dv_g - \frac{1 + \alpha \int_{\Sigma} G dv_g}{2\pi \chi(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} K_g G dv_g \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial B_{R\epsilon}(p)} G \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(R\epsilon) + \alpha \|G\|_2^2 + A_p + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Since $\psi_{\alpha} \in C^1(\Sigma)$ and $\psi_{\alpha}(p) = 0$, we have

$$\int_{B_{2R\epsilon} \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} |\nabla_g \eta|^2 \psi_{\alpha}^2 dv_g = O((R\epsilon)^2), \quad (54)$$

$$\int_{B_{2R\epsilon} \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} \nabla_g G \nabla_g \eta \psi_{\alpha} dv_g = O((R\epsilon)^2), \quad (55)$$

$$\int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} |\nabla_g \phi_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g = \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \log R + \frac{\log \pi}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{4\pi} + O(\frac{1}{R^2}) \right). \quad (56)$$

Combining (53)-(56), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \phi_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g &= \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \left(2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log \pi - 1 + 4\pi A_p + 4\pi \alpha \|G\|_2^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Observing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} K_g \phi_{\epsilon} dv_g &= \frac{1}{c} \left(\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{2R\epsilon}(p)} K_g G dv_g + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \left(- \int_{B_{2R\epsilon}(p)} K_g G dv_g + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{c} O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

we have $(\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g = \frac{1}{c} O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} (\phi_{\epsilon} - (\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g)^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma} \phi_{\epsilon}^2 dv_g + (\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g^2 \text{vol}_g(\Sigma) - 2(\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g \int_{\Sigma} \phi_{\epsilon} dv_g \\ &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\int_{\Sigma} G^2 dv_g + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (57) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{\epsilon} - (\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g\|_{1,\alpha}^2 &= \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \phi_{\epsilon}|^2 dv_g - \alpha \int_{\Sigma} (\phi_{\epsilon} - (\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g)^2 dv_g \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \left(2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log \pi - 1 + 4\pi A_p + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ_{ϵ} satisfy (50), i.e. $\|\phi_{\epsilon} - (\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g\|_{1,\alpha} = 1$. Then we have

$$c^2 = -\frac{\log \epsilon}{2\pi} + \frac{\log \pi}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{4\pi} + A_p + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)). \quad (58)$$

It follows from (52) and (58) that

$$B = \frac{1}{4\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)). \quad (59)$$

Clearly we have on $B_{R\epsilon}(p)$

$$4\pi(\phi_{\epsilon} - (\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g)^2 \geq 4\pi c^2 - 2 \log(1 + \pi \frac{r^2}{\epsilon^2}) + 8\pi B + O(R\epsilon \log(R\epsilon)).$$

This together with (58) and (59) yields

$$\int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} e^{4\pi(\phi_{\epsilon} - (\widetilde{\phi_{\epsilon}})_g)^2} dv_g \geq \pi e^{1+4\pi A_p} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log \epsilon)^2}\right). \quad (60)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{Re}(p)} e^{4\pi(\phi_\epsilon - \overline{(\phi_\epsilon)_g})^2} dv_g &\geq \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{2Re}(p)} (1 + 4\pi(\phi_\epsilon - \overline{(\phi_\epsilon)_g})^2) dv_g \\ &\geq \text{vol}_g(\Sigma) + 4\pi \frac{\|G\|_2^2}{c^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Recalling (58) and combining (60) and (61), we conclude (51) for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

3. The Trudinger-Moser inequality versus the topology

In this section, we prove Theorem 2. The proof is based on Theorem 1 and the Gauss-Bonnet formula (16).

Proof of Theorem 2. If $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, then we have $\lambda_g(\Sigma) > 0$ by Lemma 6. Hence Theorem 1 holds, in particular, (11) holds for $\alpha = 0$. This is exactly (12).

Now we show that if (12) holds, then $\chi(\Sigma)$ must be nonzero. Suppose on the contrary $\chi(\Sigma) = 0$. It follows from the Gauss-Bonnet formula (16) that

$$\int_{\Sigma} K_g dv_g = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma) = 0.$$

Hence every constant function $u_k = k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfies $\int_{\Sigma} K_g u_k dv_g = 0$ and $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_k|^2 dv_g = 0$. Thus $u_k \in \mathcal{H}_g$ and $\|\nabla_g u_k\|_2 \leq 1$. Whence, by (12), we have that

$$\sup_k \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u_k^2} dv_g \leq C \quad (62)$$

for some constant C depending only on (Σ, g) . But we also have

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u_k^2} dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi k^2} dv_g \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This contradicts (62). Therefore $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, and the proof of Theorem 2 is finished. $\square$

4. Lower bound of the modified Liouville energy

In this section, as in the proof of Theorem 2, we prove Theorem 4 by combining Theorem 1 and the Gauss-Bonnet formula (16).

Proof of Theorem 4. Denote

$$C_{g,\alpha}(\Sigma) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}_g, \|u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g.$$

Since $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, by Lemma 6 and Theorem 1, we have $\lambda_g(\Sigma) > 0$ and $C_{g,\alpha}(\Sigma) < +\infty$ for any $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$. Let $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$ be such that $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \neq 0$. In view of the Gauss-Bonnet formula (16), we set

$$\bar{u}_g = \frac{\int_{\Sigma} K_g u dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} K_g dv_g} = \frac{1}{2\pi\chi(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} K_g u dv_g.$$

Clearly $u - \tilde{u}_g \in \mathcal{H}_g$ and $\|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha} > 0$ for any $\alpha < \lambda_g(\Sigma)$. By the Young inequality $2ab \leq \epsilon a^2 + \epsilon^{-1}b^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{u - \tilde{u}_g}{\|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha}} \|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha} + \tilde{u}_g \\ &\leq 4\pi \frac{(u - \tilde{u}_g)^2}{\|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha}^2} + \frac{1}{16\pi} \|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + \tilde{u}_g. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\ln \int_{\Sigma} e^u dv_g \leq \ln \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi \frac{(u - \tilde{u}_g)^2}{\|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha}^2}} dv_g + \frac{1}{16\pi} \|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + \tilde{u}_g.$$

Using the assumption $\tilde{g} = e^u g$ and $\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}}(\Sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} e^u dv_g \geq \mu \text{vol}_g(\Sigma)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \tilde{u}_g\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 16\pi \tilde{u}_g &\geq -16\pi \ln C_{g,\alpha}(\Sigma) + 16\pi \ln \int_{\Sigma} e^u dv_g \\ &\geq -16\pi \ln C_{g,\alpha}(\Sigma) + 16\pi \ln(\mu \text{vol}_g(\Sigma)), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g - \alpha \int_{\Sigma} |u - \tilde{u}_g|^2 dv_g + \frac{8}{\chi(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} K_g u dv_g \geq 16\pi \ln \frac{\mu \text{vol}_g(\Sigma)}{C_{g,\alpha}(\Sigma)}.$$

In particular, choosing $\alpha = 0$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\bar{L}_g(\tilde{g}) = \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + \frac{8}{\chi(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} K_g u dv_g \geq 16\pi \ln \frac{\mu \text{vol}_g(\Sigma)}{C_{g,0}(\Sigma)}.$$

Noting that $C_{g,0}(\Sigma) = C_g(\Sigma)$ defined as in (15), we finish the proof of Theorem 4. $\square$

Acknowledgement. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11171347 and Grant No. 11471014).

References

- [1] D. Adams, A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives, Ann. Math. 128 (1988) 385-398.
- [2] Adimurthi, O. Druet, Blow-up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 29 (2004) 295-322.
- [3] Adimurthi, M. Struwe, Global compactness properties of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponential growth, J. Funct. Anal. 175 (2000) 125-167.
- [4] T. Aubin, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds, Springer, 1982.
- [5] L. Carleson, A. Chang, On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser, Bull. Sci. Math. 110 (1986) 113-127.
- [6] X. Chen, Calabi flow in Riemann surfaces revisited: a new point of view, Int. Math. Res. Not. 6 (2001) 275-297.
- [7] W. Chen, C. Li, Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, Duke Math. J. 63 (1991) 615-622.
- [8] X. Chen, M. Zhu, Liouville Energy on a Topological Two Sphere, Commun. Math. Stat. 1 (2013) 369-385.
- [9] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann Surface, Asian J. Math. 1 (1997) 230-248.
- [10] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, An analysis of the two-vortex case in the Chern-Simons Higgs model, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 7 (1998) 87-97.
- [11] J. M. do Ó, Y. Yang, A quasi-linear elliptic equation with critical growth on compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 38 (2010) 317-334.

- [12] M. Flucher, Extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequality in 2 dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 67 (1992) 471-497.
- [13] L. Fontana, Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 68 (1993) 415-454.
- [14] S. Gallot, D. Hulin, J. Lafontaine, *Riemannian geometry*, Third edition, Springer, 2008.
- [15] K. Lin, Extremal functions for Moser's inequality, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1996) 2663-2671.
- [16] Y. Li, Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension two, *J. Part. Diff. Equations* 14 (2001) 163-192.
- [17] Y. Li, P. Liu, Y. Yang, Moser-Trudinger inequalities on vector bundles over a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension 2, *Cal. Var.* 28 (2007) 59-83.
- [18] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, *Ind. Univ. Math. J.* 20 (1971) 1077-1091.
- [19] J. Peetre, Espaces d'interpolation et theoreme de Soboleff, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 16 (1966) 279-317.
- [20] S. Pohozaev, The Sobolev embedding in the special case $pl = n$, *Proceedings of the technical scientific conference on advances of scientific research 1964-1965, Mathematics sections*, 158-170, *Moscov. Energet. Inst.*, Moscow, 1965.
- [21] M. Struwe, Critical points of embeddings of $H_0^{1,n}$ into Orlicz spaces, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* 5 (1988) 425-464.
- [22] C. Tintarev, Trudinger-Moser inequality with remainder terms, *J. Funct. Anal.* 266 (2014) 55-66.
- [23] N. Trudinger, On embeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *J. Math. Mech.* 17 (1967) 473-484.
- [24] Y. Yang, A sharp form of Moser-Trudinger inequality in high dimension, *J. Funct. Anal.* 239 (2006) 100-126.
- [25] Y. Yang, A sharp form of the Moser-Trudinger inequality on a compact Riemannian surface, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007) 5761-5776.
- [26] Y. Yang, A sharp form of trace Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian surface with boundary, *Math. Z.* 255 (2007) 373-392.
- [27] Y. Yang, Extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequalities of Adimurthi-Druet type in dimension two, *J. Differential Equations* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.01.004>
- [28] Y. Yang, L. Zhao, A class of Adams-Fontana type inequalities and related functionals on manifolds, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* 17 (2010) 119-135.
- [29] V.I. Yudovich, Some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations, *Sov. Math. Docl.* 2 (1961) 746-749.